## Beamlines

## Some of the Photon sources at Elettra



## The beamline



- is the mean of bringing radiation from the source to the experiment transforming the phase volume in a controlled way: it demagnifies, monochromatizes and refocuses the source onto a sample
- must preserve the excellent qualities of the radiation source

$$
\text { Brilliance }=\frac{\Phi}{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y} \sigma_{x}^{\prime} \sigma_{y}^{\prime} \mathrm{BW}}
$$

where:
$\Phi$ is the photon flux
$\sigma_{x, y}$ are the source sizes
$\sigma_{x, y}$ are the source divergences
BW is the bandwidth

$$
\text { Brilliance }=\frac{\Phi}{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y} \sigma_{x}^{\prime} \sigma_{y}^{\prime} \mathrm{BW}}
$$

where:
$\Phi$ is the photon flux
$\sigma_{x, y}$ are the source sizes
$\sigma_{x, y}$ are the source divergences
BW is the bandwidth

Figure 1.2.1: The Practical Meaning of Brilliance
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Experiment Exit Slit
a. Phase space, $\sigma_{x} \times \sigma_{y} \times \sigma_{x}^{\prime} \times \sigma_{y}^{\prime}$, large.

b. Phase space, $\sigma_{x} \times \sigma_{y} \times \sigma_{x}^{\prime} \times \sigma_{y}^{\prime}$, small:


$$
\text { Brilliance }=\frac{\Phi}{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y} \sigma_{x}^{\prime} \sigma_{y}^{\prime} \mathrm{BW}}
$$

where:
$\Phi$ is the photon flux
$\sigma_{x, y}$ are the source sizes
$\sigma_{x, y}^{\prime}$ are the source divergences
BW is the bandwidth

Liouville's theorem
For an optical system the occupied phase space volume can only increase along the optical path (without loosing photons) ( $\sigma \sigma^{\prime}$ )final $\geq\left(\sigma \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ initial

## Example a focussing element:

 by reducing the size we increase the divergence

## VUV, EUV and soft x-rays



These regions are interesting because they are characterized by the presence of the absorption edges of most low and intermediate Z elements $\rightarrow$ photons with these energies are a very sensitive tool for elemental and chemical identification
But... these regions are difficult to access.

## VUV, EUV and soft x-rays

## Ultra-high vacuum

VUV, EUV and soft x-rays have a high degree of absorption in all materials
$\rightarrow$ No windows
$\rightarrow$ The entire optical system must be kept under vacuum
Ultrahigh vacuum conditions ( $\mathrm{P} \leqslant 1-2 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{mbar}$ ) are required:

- Not to disturb the storage ring and the experiment
- To avoid photon absorption in air
- To protect the optical surfaces from contamination (especially from carbon)


## VUV, EUV and soft x-rays

VUV, EUV and soft x-rays have a high degree of absorption in all materials $\rightarrow$ No lenses: only mirrors!

Reflectivities drop down by increasing the grazing incidence angle $\rightarrow$ only reflective optics at grazing incidence angles (1-2 degrees)


## VUV, EUV and soft x-rays









## Focusing properties

The meridian or tangential plane contains the central incidence ray and the normal to the surface. The sagittal plane is the plane perpendicular to the tangential plane and containing the normal to the surface.


## Paraboloid

Rays traveling parallel to the symmetry axis OX are all focused to a point A .
Conversely, the parabola collimates rays emanating from the focus A .
Line equation: $\quad Y^{2}=4 a X$
Paraboloid equation: $Y^{2}+Z^{2}=4 a X$ where: $a=f \cos ^{2} \vartheta$

Position of the pole P:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{o}=a \tan ^{2} \vartheta \\
& Y_{o}=2 a \tan \vartheta
\end{aligned}
$$

Paraboloid equation:

$x^{2} \sin ^{2} \vartheta+y^{2} \cos ^{2} \vartheta+z^{2}-2 x y \sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta-4 a x \sec \vartheta=0$
J.B. West and H.A. Padmore, Optical Engineering, 1987

## Ellipsoid

Line equation: $\quad \frac{X^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{Y^{2}}{b^{2}}=1$
Ellipsoid equation:

$$
\frac{X^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{Y^{2}}{b^{2}}+\frac{Z^{2}}{b^{2}}=1
$$

where: $a=\frac{r+r^{\prime}}{2} ; \quad b=a \sqrt{1-e^{2}}$

$$
e=\frac{1}{2 a} \sqrt{r^{2}+r^{\prime 2}-2 r r^{\prime} \cos (2 \vartheta)}
$$



Rays from one focus $F_{1}$ will always be perfectly focused to the second focus $F_{2}$.

$$
x^{2}\left(\frac{\sin ^{2} \vartheta}{b^{2}}+\frac{1}{a^{2}}\right)+y^{2}\left(\frac{\cos ^{2} \vartheta}{b^{2}}\right)+\frac{z^{2}}{b^{2}}-x\left(\frac{4 f \cos \vartheta}{b^{2}}\right)-x y\left[\frac{2 \sin \vartheta \sqrt{e^{2}-\sin ^{2} \vartheta}}{b^{2}}\right]=0
$$

where: $\quad f=\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}$
J.B. West and H.A. Padmore, Optical Engineering, 1987

## Toroid (1)

The bicycle tyre toroid is generated by rotating a circle of radius $\rho$ in an arc of radius R. In general, two non-coincident focii are produced: one in the meridional plane and one in the sagittal plane

Tangential focus:

$$
\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r_{t}^{\prime}}\right) \frac{\cos \vartheta}{2}=\frac{1}{R}
$$

Sagittal focus:

$$
\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r_{s}^{\prime}}\right) \frac{1}{2 \cos \vartheta}=\frac{1}{\rho}
$$



Stigmatic image: $\frac{\rho}{R}=\cos ^{2} \vartheta$
J.B. West and H.A. Padmore, Optical Engineering, 1987

## Toroid (2)

$$
x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=2 R x-2 R(R-\rho)+2(R-\rho) \sqrt{(R-x)^{2}+y^{2}}
$$

A

For $\rho=R \rightarrow$ spherical mirror
A stigmatic image can only be obtained at normal incidence.
For a vertical deflecting spherical mirror at grazing incidence the horizontal sagittal focus is always further away from the mirror than the vertical tangential focus. The mirror only weakly focusses in the sagittal direction.

The Kirkpatrick-Baez spherical mirrors configuration


## Gratings

The diffraction grating separates the different components of the spectrum by redirecting the radiation by an amount which depends upon the wavelength.

$N=1 / d$ is the groove density, $k$ is the order of diffraction $( \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots)$

## VUV, EUV and soft x-rays beamline

Basic elements:

- mirrors to focus, deflect and filter
- gratings to diffract
- slits to spatially select the radiation

Optical elements have to preserve (as much as possible!) the quality (brilliance) of the radiation

## Conserving brilliance

Brilliance decreases because of:

- roughness and slope errors on optical surfaces
- thermal deformations of optical elements due to heat load produced by the high power radiation
- aberrations of optical elements

In the following we will consider OEs with theoretical surface shapes

## Perfect imaging and aberrations

An ideal optical element is able to perform perfect imaging if all the rays originating from a single object point cross at a single image point.


Deviations from perfect imaging are called aberrations.

## Aberration theory

Image quality is essential for achieving high energy and spatial resolution $\rightarrow$ knowledge of aberration theory is necessary

It shows what the different aberration terms are and how they play a role in the image formation $\rightarrow$ it teaches how aberrations can be reduced

Goal: understand in general terms how to treat mathematically the focusing properties of a concave optical element.

We will study the case of a grating.
The general theory of aberrations of diffraction gratings applies Fermat's principle to derive expressions for the aberration coefficients.

## Fermat's principle

Light-rays choose their paths to minimize the optical length

$$
F=\int_{A}^{B} n(\vec{r}) d l
$$


$n(\vec{r})$ is the index of refraction of the medium

In other words:
a light-ray going from A to B must traverse an optical path length which is stationary with respect to small variations of that path

## Theory of conventional diffraction gratings

For a classical grating with rectilinear grooves parallel to z with constant spacing $d$, the optical path length is:

where $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the diffracted light, k is the order of diffraction $( \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots), N=1 / \mathrm{d}$ is the groove density

## Perfect focus condition (1)

Let us consider some number of light rays starting from A and impinging on the grating at different points P . Fermat's principle states that if the point $A$ is to be imaged at the point $B$, then all the optical path lengths from $A$ via the grating surface to $B$ will be the same.

$B$ is the point of a perfect focus if:

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}=0 \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial z}=0
$$

for any pair of (y,z)

## Perfect focus condition (2)

Equations:

$$
F=\overline{A P}+\overline{P B}+k N \lambda y+\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}=0 \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial z}=0 \text { for any pair of }(y, z)
$$

can be used to decide on the required characteristics of the diffraction grating:
-the shape of the surface
$\bullet$ the grooves density
$\bullet$ the object and image distances

## Aberrated image

In general, $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}$ and $\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}$ are functions of y and z and can not be made zero for
$\rightarrow$ when the point P wanders over the grating surface, diffracted rays fall on slightly different points on the focal plane and an aberrated image is formed


- $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ : gaussian image, produced by the central ray
- B: ray diffracted by the generic point P on the grating surface
- Aberrations: displacements of $B$ with respect to $B_{0}$


## Grating surface

The grating surface may in general be described by a series expansion:


$$
x=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{i j} y^{i} z^{j}
$$

$a_{00}=a_{10}=a_{01}=0$ because of the choice of origin
$j=$ even if the xy plane is a symmetry plane

Giving suitable values to the coefficients $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ 's we obtain the expressions for the various geometrical surfaces.

## $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ coefficients (1)

Toroid

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{02}=\frac{1}{2 \rho} ; \quad a_{20}=\frac{1}{2 R} ; \quad a_{22}=\frac{1}{4 R^{2} \rho} ; \quad a_{40}=\frac{1}{8 R^{3}} ; \\
a_{04}=\frac{1}{8 \rho^{3}} ; \quad a_{12}=0 ; \quad a_{30}=0
\end{array}
$$

Sphere, cylinder and plane are special cases of toroid:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}=\rho \rightarrow \text { sphere } \\
& \mathrm{R}=\infty \rightarrow \text { cylinder } \\
& \mathrm{R}=\rho=\infty \rightarrow \text { plane }
\end{aligned}
$$

Paraboloid

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{02}=\frac{1}{4 f \cos \vartheta} ; \quad a_{20}=\frac{\cos \vartheta}{4 f} ; \quad a_{22}=\frac{3 \sin ^{2} \vartheta}{32 f^{3} \cos \vartheta} ; \\
& a_{12}=-\frac{\tan \vartheta}{8 f^{2}} ; \quad a_{30}=-\frac{\sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta}{8 f^{2}} \\
& a_{40}=\frac{5 \sin ^{2} \vartheta \cos \vartheta}{64 f^{3}} ; \quad a_{04}=\frac{\sin ^{2} \vartheta}{64 f^{3} \cos ^{3} \vartheta}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ coefficients (2)

## Ellipsoid

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{02}=\frac{1}{4 f \cos \vartheta} ; \quad a_{20}=\frac{\cos \vartheta}{4 f} ; \quad a_{04}=\frac{b^{2}}{64 f^{3} \cos ^{3} \vartheta}\left[\frac{\sin ^{2} \vartheta}{b^{2}}+\frac{1}{a^{2}}\right] ; \\
& a_{12}=\frac{\tan \vartheta}{8 f^{2} \cos \vartheta} \sqrt{e^{2}-\sin ^{2} \vartheta} ; \quad a_{30}=\frac{\sin \vartheta}{8 f^{2}} \sqrt{e^{2}-\sin ^{2} \vartheta} ; \\
& a_{40}=\frac{b^{2}}{64 f^{3} \cos ^{3} \vartheta}\left[\frac{5 \sin ^{2} \vartheta \cos ^{2} \vartheta}{b^{2}}-\frac{5 \sin ^{2} \vartheta}{a^{2}}+\frac{1}{a^{2}}\right] ; \\
& a_{22}=\frac{\sin ^{2} \vartheta}{16 f^{3} \cos ^{3} \vartheta}\left[\frac{3}{2} \cos ^{2} \vartheta-\frac{b^{2}}{a^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\cos ^{2} \vartheta}{2}\right)\right] \\
& \text { where } f=\left[\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}\right]^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

http://xdb.lbl.gov/Section4/Sec_4-3Extended.pdf

## Optical path function (1)



## $F=\overline{A P}+\overline{P B}+k N \lambda y$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{A P}=\sqrt{\left(x_{a}-x\right)^{2}+\left(y_{a}-y\right)^{2}+\left(z_{a}-z\right)^{2}} \\
& \overline{P B}=\sqrt{\left(x_{b}-x\right)^{2}+\left(y_{b}-y\right)^{2}+\left(z_{b}-z\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
x_{a}=r \cos \alpha
$$

$$
y_{a}=r \sin \alpha
$$

$$
x_{b}=r^{\prime} \cos \beta
$$

$$
y_{b}=r^{\prime} \sin \beta
$$

## Optical path function (1)



## $F=\overline{A P}+\overline{P B}+k N \lambda y$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{A P}=\sqrt{\left(x_{a}-x\right)^{2}+\left(y_{a}-y\right)^{2}+\left(z_{a}-z\right)^{2}} \\
& \overline{P B}=\sqrt{\left(x_{b}-x\right)^{2}+\left(y_{b}-y\right)^{2}+\left(z_{b}-z\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
x_{a}=r \cos \alpha
$$

$$
y_{a}=r \sin \alpha
$$

$$
x_{b}=r^{\prime} \cos \beta
$$

$$
y_{b}=r^{\prime} \sin \beta
$$

## Optical path function (2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =\sum_{i j k} F_{i j k} y^{i} z^{j} \\
& =F_{000}+y F_{100}+z F_{011}+\frac{1}{2} y^{2} F_{200}+\frac{1}{2} z^{2} F_{020}+\frac{1}{2} y^{3} F_{300} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} y z^{2} F_{120}+\frac{1}{8} y^{4} F_{400}+\frac{1}{4} y^{2} z^{2} F_{220}+\frac{1}{8} z^{4} F_{040} \\
& +y z F_{111}+\frac{1}{2} y F_{102}+\frac{1}{4} y^{2} F_{202}+\frac{1}{2} y^{2} z F_{211}+\ldots \\
F_{i j k} & =z_{a}^{k} C_{i j k}(\alpha, r)+z_{b}^{k} C_{i j k}\left(\beta, r^{\prime}\right)+N k \lambda f_{i j k} \\
f_{i j k} & = \begin{cases}1 & \text { when ijk }=100 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Perfect focus condition (3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}=0 \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial z}=0 \text { for any pair of }(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}) \\
& F_{i j k}=0 \quad \text { for all } \mathrm{ijk} \neq(000)
\end{aligned}
$$

Each term $F_{i j k} y^{i} z^{j}$ in the series (except $\mathrm{F}_{000}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{100}$ ) represents a particular type of aberration

## $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$ coefficients (1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{000}=r+r^{\prime} \\
& F_{100}=N k \lambda-(\sin \alpha+\sin \beta) \\
& F_{200}=\left(\frac{\cos ^{2} \alpha}{r}+\frac{\cos ^{2} \beta}{r^{\prime}}\right)-2 a_{20}(\cos \alpha+\cos \beta) \\
& F_{020}=\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}-2 a_{02}(\cos \alpha+\cos \beta) \\
& F_{300}=\left[\frac{T(r, \alpha)}{r}\right] \sin \alpha+\left[\frac{T\left(r^{\prime}, \beta\right)}{r^{\prime}}\right] \sin \beta-2 a_{30}(\cos \alpha+\cos \beta) \\
& F_{120}=\left[\frac{S(r, \alpha)}{r}\right] \sin \alpha+\left[\frac{S\left(r^{\prime}, \beta\right)}{r^{\prime}}\right] \sin \beta-2 a_{12}(\cos \alpha+\cos \beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\quad T(r, \alpha)=\frac{\cos ^{2} \alpha}{r}-2 a_{20} \cos \alpha \quad$ and $\quad S(r, \alpha)=\frac{1}{r}-2 a_{02} \cos \alpha$ and analogous expressions for $T\left(r^{\prime}, \beta\right)$ and $S\left(r^{\prime}, \beta\right)$

## $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$ coefficients (2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{400}= & {\left[\frac{4 T(r, \alpha)}{r^{2}}\right] \sin ^{2} \alpha-\left[\frac{T^{2}(r, \alpha)}{r}\right]+\left[\frac{4 T\left(r^{\prime}, \beta\right)}{r^{\prime 2}}\right] \sin ^{2} \beta-\left[\frac{T^{2}\left(r^{\prime}, \beta\right)}{r^{\prime}}\right] } \\
& -8 a_{30}\left[\frac{\sin \alpha \cos \alpha}{r}+\frac{\sin \beta \cos \beta}{r^{\prime}}\right]-8 a_{40}(\cos \alpha+\cos \beta)+4 a_{20}^{2}\left[\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}\right] \\
F_{220}= & {\left[\frac{2 S(r, \alpha)}{r^{2}}\right] \sin ^{2} \alpha+\left[\frac{2 S\left(r^{\prime}, \beta\right)}{r^{\prime 2}}\right] \sin ^{2} \beta-\left[\frac{T(r, \alpha) S(r, \alpha)}{r}\right]-\left[\frac{T\left(r^{\prime}, \beta\right) S\left(r^{\prime}, \beta\right)}{r^{\prime}}\right] } \\
& +4 a_{20} a_{02}\left[\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}\right]-4 a_{22}(\cos \alpha+\cos \beta)-4 a_{12}\left[\frac{\sin \alpha \cos \alpha}{r}+\frac{\sin \beta \cos \beta}{r^{\prime}}\right] \\
F_{040}= & 4 a_{02}^{2}\left[\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}\right]-8 a_{04}(\cos \alpha+\cos \beta)-\left[\frac{S^{2}(r, \alpha)}{r}\right]-\left[\frac{S^{2}\left(r^{\prime}, \beta\right)}{r^{\prime}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$ coefficients (3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{011}=-\frac{z_{a}}{r}-\frac{z_{b}}{r^{\prime}} \\
& F_{111}=-\frac{z_{a} \sin \alpha}{r^{2}}-\frac{z_{b} \sin \beta}{r^{\prime 2}} \\
& F_{102}=\frac{z_{a}^{2} \sin \alpha}{r^{2}}+\frac{z_{b}^{2} \sin \beta}{r^{\prime 2}} \\
& F_{202}=\left(\frac{z_{a}}{r}\right)^{2}\left[\frac{2 \sin ^{2} \alpha}{r}-T(r, \alpha)\right]+\left(\frac{z_{b}}{r^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\left[\frac{2 \sin ^{2} \beta}{r^{\prime}}-T\left(r^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right] \\
& F_{211}=\frac{z_{a}}{r^{2}}\left[T(r, \alpha)-\frac{2 \sin ^{2} \alpha}{r}\right]+\frac{z_{b}}{r^{\prime 2}}\left[T\left(r^{\prime}, \beta\right)-\frac{2 \sin ^{2} \beta}{r^{\prime}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gaussian image point (1)

If we apply Fermat's principle to the central ray: $\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}\right)_{y=0, z=0}=0\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}\right)_{y=0, z=0}=0$
$F_{100}=0 \Longleftrightarrow \sin \alpha+\sin \beta_{0}=N k \lambda$
$F_{011}=0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{z_{a}}{r}=-\frac{z_{b 0}}{r_{0}^{\prime}}$

## grating equation

law of magnification in the sagittal direction

The tangential focal distance $\mathrm{r}^{\prime}{ }_{0}$ is obtained by setting:
$F_{200}=0 \Longleftrightarrow\left(\frac{\cos ^{2} \alpha}{r}+\frac{\cos ^{2} \beta_{0}}{r_{0}^{\prime}}\right)-2 a_{20}\left(\cos \alpha+\cos \beta_{0}\right)=0$ tangential focusing

The three above equations determine the Gaussian image point $\mathrm{B}_{0}\left(\mathrm{r}^{\prime}{ }_{0}, \beta_{0}, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{b} 0}\right)$

## Gaussian image point (2)



## Sagittal focusing

While the second order aberration term $\mathrm{F}_{200}$ governs the tangential focusing, the second order term $\mathrm{F}_{020}$ governs the sagittal focusing:
$F_{020}=0 \quad \frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}-2 a_{02}(\cos \alpha+\cos \beta)=0 \quad$ sagittal focusing

Example: toroidal mirror
Substituting $\quad a_{02}=\frac{1}{2 \rho} ; \quad a_{20}=\frac{1}{2 R} \quad$ in $\quad F_{200}=0 ; \quad F_{020}=0$
and imposing $\alpha=-\beta=\theta$
$\Longrightarrow \quad\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r_{t}^{\prime}}\right) \frac{\cos \vartheta}{2}=\frac{1}{R} \quad\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r_{s}^{\prime}}\right) \frac{1}{2 \cos \vartheta}=\frac{1}{\rho}$

## Sagittal focusing

While the second order aberration term $\mathrm{F}_{200}$ governs the tangential focusing, the second order term $\mathrm{F}_{020}$ governs the sagittal focusing:
$F_{020}=0 \quad \frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}-2 a_{02}(\cos \alpha+\cos \beta)=0 \quad$ sagittal focusing

Example: toroidal mirror
Substituting $\quad a_{02}=\frac{1}{2 \rho} ; \quad a_{20}=\frac{1}{2 R} \quad$ in $\quad F_{200}=0 ; \quad F_{020}=0$
and imposing $\alpha=-\beta=\theta$
$\Longrightarrow \quad\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r_{t}^{\prime}}\right) \frac{\cos \vartheta}{2}=\frac{1}{R} \quad\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r_{s}^{\prime}}\right) \frac{1}{2 \cos \vartheta}=\frac{1}{\rho}$

## Aberrations terms

Most important imaging errors:

| $\mathrm{F}_{200}$ | defocus |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{F}_{020}$ | astigmatism |
| $\mathrm{F}_{300}$ | primary coma (aperture defect) |
| $\mathrm{F}_{120}$ | astigmatic coma |
| $\mathrm{F}_{400} \mathrm{~F}_{220} \mathrm{~F}_{040}$ | spherical aberration |

There is an ambiguity in the naming of the aberrations in the grazing incidence case!

## Ray aberrations (1)

The generic ray starting from A will arrive at the focal plane at a point B displaced from the Gaussian image point $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ by the ray aberrations $\Delta \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{b}}$ :


## Ray aberrations (2)

Substituting the expansion of F , the ray aberrations for each aberration type can be calculated separately:

$$
\Delta y_{b}^{i j k}=\frac{r_{0}^{\prime}}{\cos \beta_{0}} F_{i j k} i y^{i-1} z^{j}
$$

$$
\Delta z_{b}^{i j k}=r_{0}^{\prime} F_{i j k} y^{i} j z^{j-1}
$$

Provided the aberrations are not too large, they are additive: they may either reinforce or cancel.

$$
\Delta y_{b}=\sum_{i j k} \Delta y_{b}^{i j k}
$$

$$
\Delta z_{b}=\sum_{i j k} \Delta z_{b}^{i j k}
$$

## Aberrated image

Example of footprint on the grating:


Substituting $\mathrm{y}= \pm \mathrm{w}$ and $\mathrm{z}= \pm 1$ in the ray aberrations $\Delta \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{\mathrm{ijk}}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{\mathrm{ijk}}$, we evaluate the contributions of the rays which are more distant from the pole of the grating
$\rightarrow$ size $\left(\Delta y_{b} * \Delta z_{b}\right)$ of the resulting aberrated image

## Defocus and coma contributions

The defocus contribution is linear in the ruled length $( \pm \mathrm{w})$ of the grating, the error in the dispersive direction is symmetric about the Gaussian image point:

$$
\Delta y_{b}^{200}( \pm w)= \pm \frac{r_{0}^{\prime}}{\cos \beta_{0}} F_{200} 2 w
$$

The coma contribution is proportional to $w^{2}$ giving a dispersive error which only occurs on one side of the Gaussian image point for rays from both the top and the bottom of the grating $(y= \pm w)$ :

$$
\Delta y_{b}^{300}( \pm w)=\frac{r_{0}^{\prime}}{\cos \beta_{0}} F_{300} 3 w^{2}
$$

## Comparison ray trace - aberration calculations

Example



Ray trace simple tells us that the ray arrives in a certain point

Aberration-based calculations specify the different contributions

## Aberrations contribution to resolution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \lambda & =\left(\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \beta}\right)_{\alpha=\text { const }} \Delta \beta \\
& =\frac{\cos \beta}{N k} \Delta \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting: $\Delta \beta=\frac{\Delta y_{b}}{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow \quad \Delta \lambda=\frac{\cos \beta}{N k} \frac{\Delta y_{b}}{r^{\prime}}$
Substituting: $\quad \Delta y_{b}=\frac{r_{0}^{\prime}}{\cos \beta_{0}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \quad \rightarrow \quad \Delta \lambda=\frac{1}{N k} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}$

$$
\Delta \lambda=\frac{1}{N k} \sum_{i j k} F_{i j k} i y^{i-1} z^{j}
$$

## Aberration theory: conclusions

- Perfect focus condition: $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}=0 \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial z}=0 \quad$ for each pair $(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})$
$\rightarrow$ all the coefficients $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$ must be zero
- Non-zero values for the coefficients $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$ lead to displacements of the rays arriving in the image plane from the ideal Gaussian image point.
- We have found the expressions for these rays displacements and the corresponding contributions to wavelength resolution. In this way the impact on the imaging and energy resolution properties of a given grating can be evaluated.
- By a proper choice of the grating shape, groove density, object and image distances, the sum of the aberrations may be reduced to a minimum.


## Ag s- and p-polarized reflectivity at $\hbar \omega=20 \mathrm{eV}$



## Experimental reflectivity of Ag for p-polarized light



## Refraction index

In the Lorentz-Drude model we can write the refraction index as

$$
n(\omega)=\left[1-\frac{e^{2} n_{a}}{\epsilon_{0} m} \sum_{s} \frac{g_{s}}{\left(\omega^{2}-\omega_{s}^{2}\right)+i \gamma}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where the $\omega_{\mathrm{s}}$ can be regarded as the absorption edges of the atoms constituting the medium. At high photon energies, the expression becomes:

$$
n(\omega) \simeq 1-\frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{2} n_{a}}{\epsilon_{0} m} \sum_{s} \frac{g_{s}}{\left(\omega^{2}-\omega_{s}^{2}\right)+i \gamma}
$$

## Refraction index

so we can write the refraction index as

$$
n \approx 1-\delta+i \beta
$$

where, if we assume a single absorption edge at $\lambda_{s}$ :

$$
\delta \approx \frac{e^{2} \lambda^{2}}{2 \pi m c^{2}}\left|N+N_{s} g_{s}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{s}}\right)^{2} \ln \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{s}}{\lambda}\right)^{2}-1\right]\right|
$$

and for $\lambda \ll \lambda_{\mathrm{s}}$ (free electron limit):

$$
\delta \approx \frac{N e^{2} \lambda^{2}}{2 \pi m c^{2}}
$$

## Refraction index


$\lambda^{2}$ behaviour
$\lambda \& \delta \ll 1$
ठ crossover

## $\mathcal{F} 30$ effect (primary coma)




## Roughness

## $I=I_{0} e^{-\left(\frac{4 \pi \sigma \sin \vartheta}{\lambda}\right)^{2}}$

$$
\sigma=\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x=0}^{n}[s(x)-\overline{s(x)}]^{2}}
$$

## Slope errors (tangential)

Typical manufacturer capabilities (SESO, ZEISS, Winflight, Jobin Yvon)

| Shape | Lenght | rms errors |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Spherical/flat | Up to 500 mm | $<0.5 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ |
| Spherical/flat | $>500 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $1-2 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ |
| Toroidal | Up to 500 mm | $<1 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ |
| Toroidal | $>500 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $>1 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ |
| Aspherical | Up to 500 mm | $2 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ |
| Aspherical | $>500 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $3-5 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ |



## Focal property


$\mathcal{T e r m} \mathcal{F}_{20}$ of the optical path function $\left(1 / r+1 / r^{\prime}\right) \cos \theta / 2=1 /$ R $\quad$ spherical mirror

$\Delta \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\prime}=2 \mathrm{r}^{\prime} \mathrm{\sigma}_{\mathrm{t}}$


Sagital focusing
Term $\mathcal{F}_{02}$ of the optical path function
$\left(1 / r+1 / r^{\prime}\right) /(2 \cos \theta)=1 / \mathcal{R} \quad$ cyfindrical/toroidal mirror

$\Delta \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{s}}=2 \mathrm{r}^{\prime} \sin \theta \sigma_{\mathrm{s}}$


## F30 effect (primary coma)



## Final focus (F30-F 03)

source $80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ vertical; $r=4000 \mathrm{~mm} r^{\prime}=400 \mathrm{~mm}(10: 1) \theta=88^{\circ}$
Beam divergence 100X100 $\mu \mathrm{rad}$


Beam divergence $500 \times 500 \mu \mathrm{rad}$


## Mirror defects

Slope errors:
every deviation than from the ideal surface with period larger than $\sim 1,2 \mathrm{~mm}$
Typical definition is mrad or arcsec rms.
Alternative definition is $\lambda / 10$ or $\lambda / 20$ and so on... P-V or rms used for normal incidence mirror or "poorer" quality mirrors

## Roughness:

every deviation from the ideal surface with period smaller than $\sim 0.5-1 \mathrm{~mm}$ Typical definition is $\AA \AA \mathrm{rms}$.
Alternative definition is surface quality 20-10 or 10-5 (scratch-dig) used for normal incidence mirror or "poorer" quality mirrors A dig is nearly equal in terms of its length and width. A scratch could be much longer than wide 20-10 means 20/1000 of mm max scratch width $10 / 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ max dig dimension

## Roughness



Roughness


| Shape | Spherical/Flat | Toroidal/aspherical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roughness (Å) | 3 typical (1 best) | 5 typical (3 best) |

## Beamline layout



## Thermal deformation



Mechanical and thermal properties of selected mirror materials

|  | Density <br> $\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | Young's <br> modulus <br> $(\mathrm{GPa})$ | Thermal <br> expansion <br> $\mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{ppm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Thermal <br> conductivity <br> $\mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Figure of merit <br> $\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fused silica | 2.19 | 73 | 0.50 | 1.4 | 2.80 |
| Zerodur | 2.53 | 92 | 0.05 | 1.60 | 32.00 |
| Silicon | 2.33 | 131 | 2.60 | 156 | 60.00 |
| SiC CVD | 3.21 | 461 | 2.40 | 198 | 82.50 |
| Aluminum | 2.70 | 68 | 22.5 | 167 | 7.42 |
| Copper | 8.94 | 117 | 16.5 | 391 | 23.70 |
| Glidcop | 8.84 | 130 | 16.6 | 365 | 21.99 |
| Molybdenum | 10.22 | 324.8 | 4.80 | 142 | 29.58 |

## Induced slope errors for a 400W source

## glidcop

$1.5^{\circ}$ grazing incidence


$\Delta h=17 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ slope $26 \mu \mathrm{rad}$

3GeV Synchrotron source
6.6 cm period undulator $\mathcal{K}_{\max }=5.7$
$\mathcal{B L 6 . 1}$
$1.5^{\circ}$ grazing incidence

$\Delta \mathcal{T}=7.7^{\circ}$

Induced slope errors for a 400W source

|  | Density <br> $\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | Young's <br> modulus <br> $(\mathrm{GPa})$ | Thermal <br> expansion <br> $\mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{ppm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Thermal <br> conductivity <br> $\mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Figure of merit <br> $\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Glidcop | 8.84 | 130 | 16.6 | 365 | 21.99 |
| Molybdenum | 10.22 | 324.8 | 4.80 | 142 | 29.58 |
| SuperInvar | 8.13 | 145 | 0.06 | 10.5 | 175.00 |

## Induced slope errors for a 400W source

|  | Density <br> $\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | Young's <br> modulus <br> $(\mathrm{GPa})$ | Thermal <br> expansion <br> $\mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{ppm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Thermal <br> conductivity <br> $\mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Figure of merit <br> $\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Glidcop | 8.84 | 130 | 16.6 | 365 | 21.99 |
| Molybdenum | 10.22 | 324.8 | 4.80 | 142 | 29.58 |
| SuperInvar | 8.13 | 145 | 0.06 | 10.5 | 175.00 |

## SuperInvar

ANSYS 8.

$\Delta \mathrm{h}=6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


$$
\Delta \mathrm{T}=130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

## Side cooling



## Side cooling



## Internal cooling



Internal cooling


## Internal cooling



## Carbon contamination

Effect of the contamination:
Strong adsorption at the carbon edge ( $\approx 270 \mathrm{eV}$ )
Reduction of reflectivity due to enanchment of the surface roughness general deterioration of the surface


## Carbon contamination and cleaning

Contamination process:
$\mathcal{H y d r o c a r b o n s ~ a d s o r b e d ~ b y ~ t h e ~ s u r f a c e ~}$
Cracking induced by the incoming radiation
Formation of grapfitic carbon layer (mixed C coumpond)
Effect of the contamination:
Strong adsorption at the carbon edge ( $\approx 270 \mathrm{eV}$ )
Reduction of reflectivity due to enanchment of the surface rougfiness general deterioration of the surface


## Soft X-ray monochromators



## Soft X-ray monochromators



| Micro <br> wave | I.R. | Visible | U.V. | Soft <br> X-ray | Hard <br> X-ray |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |



## Grating profiles



Blaze gratings:
Kigher efficiency


Blaze angle $=(\alpha+\beta) / 2$

## Grating profiles




## Grating profiles



Blaze profile


## Mechanically ruled grating

Mechanically ruled (CARL ZEISS Grating Ruling Engine GTM6) with blazed profile down to 0.5-0.7º


## $\mathcal{H o l o g r a p f i c a l}$ grating



Development


Ion etcfing
Photoresist removal

Coating

## Grating's equations



Optical patffunction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{100}=-n \lambda D_{0}+(\sin \alpha-\sin \beta) \text { grating equation } \\
& F_{200}=\left(\frac{\cos ^{2} \alpha}{r}-\frac{\cos \alpha}{R}+\frac{\cos ^{2} \beta}{r^{\prime}}-\frac{\cos \beta}{R}\right) \text { tangential focus } \\
& F_{300}=\left[\left(\frac{\cos ^{2} \alpha}{r}-\frac{\cos \alpha}{R}\right) \frac{\sin \alpha}{r}+\left(\frac{\cos ^{2} \beta}{r^{\prime}}-\frac{\cos \beta}{R}\right) \frac{\sin \beta}{r^{\prime}}\right] \text { primary coma }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Rowland condition

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{F}_{200}=\mathcal{F}_{300}=0 \\
r=\mathcal{R} \cos \alpha \\
r^{\prime}=R \cos \beta
\end{gathered}
$$



$$
F_{200}=\frac{\cos ^{2} \alpha}{r}-\frac{\cos \alpha}{R}+\frac{\cos ^{2} \beta}{r^{\prime}}-\frac{\cos \beta}{R} \text { tangential focus }
$$

$$
F_{300}=\left[\frac{\cos ^{2} \alpha}{r}-\frac{\cos \alpha}{R} \frac{\sin \alpha}{r}+\frac{\cos ^{2} \beta}{r^{\prime}}-\frac{\cos \beta}{R} \frac{\sin \beta}{r^{\prime}}\right]
$$

## Plane/spherical grating monochromators

$$
F_{100}=-n \lambda D_{0}+(\sin \alpha-\sin \beta) \quad F_{200}=\left(\frac{\cos ^{2} \alpha}{r}-\frac{\cos \alpha}{R}+\frac{\cos ^{2} \beta}{r^{\prime}}-\frac{\cos \beta}{R}\right)
$$




Entrance slit/source



## Plane/spfierical grating monochromators



## Spherical grating monochromator efficiency




## Resolving power



## Exit slit




## Resolving power $=\mathcal{E} / \Delta \mathcal{E}$



## Resolving power

Typical Spherical grating monochromator resolving power



## Resolving power

Typical Spherical grating monochromator resolving power

$$
+50-250 V
$$



