



**Thomas Schmidt :: Paul Scherrer Institut** 

# Undulator for SLS and SLS-2 general

December 2017





SLS: 2.4 GeV

4 Undulator Beamlines soft x-ray:8 eV – 2 keV all full polarized.

1 Undulator Beamline tender x-ray up to 8 keV

5 Undulator Beamlines hard x-ray: 5 keV – 20 keV (35keV)

5 Dipole Beamlines

3 permanent magnet Superbends







### **SLS Undulators overview**

ID	N	Gap [mm]	B <sub>z</sub> /B <sub>x</sub> [T]	K <sub>z</sub> /K <sub>x</sub>	N <sub>per</sub>	Harm	E [keV]	Туре	Magnets
SLS									
UE212/424	1	20	0.4/0.1	07.00.04	20	1 5	0.01.0.6	quasi-periodic ELM	
		20	0.4/0.1	07.09.04	39	1-5	0.01-0.8	variable period	-
UE56	2	16	0.83/0.6	4.4/3.2	32	1-5	0.09-2	twin APPLE II	NdFeB
UE54	1	16	0.79/0.54	4.0/2.7	32	3-33	0,4-8	APPLE II	NdFeB
UE44	1	11,4	0.86/0.65	3.5/2.7	75	1-5	0,3-2	fixed gap APPLE II	NdFeB
U19	1	4,5	0,86	1,5	95	3-13	5-20	in-vac hybrid	Sm <sub>2</sub> Co <sub>17</sub>
U19	2	4,5	0,89	1,6	95	3-13	5-20	In-vac hybrid	NdFeB
U19	1	5,5	0,85	1,5	95	3-13	5-18	In-vac hybrid	NdFeB
U14	1	4	1,15	1,5	120	3-13	5-30	cryogenic in-vac	NdFeB
SwissFEL									
U15	13	3	1,28	1,8	265	1	2-12*	In-vac Dy enhanced	NdFeB
UE40**	26	3	1.05/1.05	3.8/3.8	40	1	0.18-1.8*	APPLE III	SmCo <sub>5</sub>

\* incl. e<sup>-</sup> energy

\*\* design phase



### SLS 2.4 GeV

soft x-ray variable polarization APPLE II twin UE56 (<- BESSY II) UE54 soft & tender x-ray fixed gap UE44 quasi-periodic elm

hard x-ray

in - vacuum (<- SPring-8)

work horses: U19 -> 20keV

CPMU U14 -> 35keV

gap min = 4mm, 2m long

2.9 - 3.4 GeV SwissFEL 2 - 8 GeV

soft x-ray variable polarization APPLE-X (DELTA II) UE38, Chic Modes in - vacuum U15 3mm, 4m long -> 12keV U10 sc ?! (2025 ff) -> 36keV





### Aramis

Ardinis	Main parameters	
Hard X-ray FEL, λ=0.1-0.7 nm	Wavelength from	1 Å - 70 Å
Linear polarization, variable gap, in-vacuum Undulators	Photon energy	0.2-12 keV
First users 2017	Pulse duration	1 fs - 20 fs
Athos	e' Energy	5.8 GeV
Soft X-ray FEL, λ=0.65-5.0 nm	e <sup>-</sup> Bunch charge	10-200 pC
Variable polarization, Apple undulators	Repetition rate	100 Hz
First users 2020		



# SwissFEL ARAMIS U15





## SwissFEL: Aramis U15





PSI measurement benches

Laser based SAFALI Measurement systems<sup>1</sup>):

1<sup>st</sup> without tank: trajectory and phase 2<sup>nd</sup> inside tank: phase and calibration field vs gap



Senis Hall probe, linear motor laser based axes stabilization Juri 2.0



Senis Hall probe, piezo stepper laser based axes stabilization

<sup>1)</sup> SAFALI concept by T. Tanaka



# U15 optimization step 1: center the axis

measure axial B differential screws in columns















### U15 opt. step 2: long range errors





block keeper

flexor design

precise tuning with adjustable wedge







### Yuri 2.0 automated optimization





after 1st Yuri run





after 3rd Yuri run



IDs for SwissFEL: Aramis U15





Aramis U15 Series Performance





## Undulator Performance: magnet strength





- Monochromator Energy Scan over the third harmonic, from 6345eV to 6465eV, in steps of 15eV, using Si111 crystals.
- SR from SARUN15 observed on MCP at K = 1.2





Individual Pointing Direction

- Undulator being measured set to K = 1.2, with the rest at K = 0.072 (full open)
- The monochromator was set to 6375eV, third harmonic, using Si111 crystals.



Need to fine adjust K and electron trajectory in the individual undulators



First time resolved Pilot Experiment by SwissFEL: Semiconductor to metal transition in Ti3O5 nanocrystals

Collaboration: SwissFEL and M. Cammarata et al., Univ. Rennes



-3<sup>rd</sup> Harm: 6.6 KeV (fund. 2.2 KeV 220 µJ) -Laser: 800nm, 42 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>









### Aramis

	Hard X-ray FEL, λ=0.1-0.7 nm
	Linear polarization, variable gap, in-vacuum Undulators
	First users 2017
ho	NS .
	Soft X-ray FEL, λ=0.65-5.0 nm

Variable polarization, Apple undulators First users 2020

### Main parameters

Wavelength from	1 Å - 70 Å		
Photon energy	0.2-12 keV		
Pulse duration	1 fs - 20 fs		
e' Energy	5.8 GeV		
e <sup>-</sup> Bunch charge	10-200 pC		
Repetition rate	100 Hz		



#### Legend:

APPLE-X Configuration

Self-seeding chicane

Baseline

Not Baseline



Optimization of undulator module length

# Summary of FEL performance as a function of the undulator module length



[E. Prat et al, JSR 23, 861 (2016)]

- In most of FEL facilities, the module length is not optimized based on FEL performance
- Typical undulator module length is about 3-5 m for robust operation
- Most of the modes benefit from shorter modules.

Based on physics and costs Final module length is 2 m (in original design was 4 m)



### APPLE II





APPLE X advanced modes I



with RADIA (red square markers).

transverse gradient undulator

tapered undulator (with yaw by cam-shaft movers

M. Calvi et al, Transverse gradient in Apple-type undulators, J. Synchrotron Rad. (2017). 24, 600-608



# APPLE X advanced modes II



45° linear polarization in standard APPLE (II or X) operation has longitudinal forces (green)

the mode above gives 45° without any longitudinal forces

proposed by EUXFEL





## APPLE X operation Full control on fields & gradients

Full symmetry

circular

$$\hat{B}_{x1} = \hat{B}_{y1}$$
$$\partial_x \hat{B}_{x1} = \partial_y \hat{B}_{y1}$$

$$K = 4\kappa \hat{B}_{x1} \cos\frac{1}{2}\phi_{e}$$
$$\partial_x K = G_0 (1 - \xi^2)^{1/2}$$

$$\kappa = \frac{e\lambda_{\rm U}}{2\pi mc}$$

$$G_0 = 2\kappa \left(\partial_x \hat{B}_{1x} - \partial_x \hat{B}_{1y}\right)$$

$$\xi = K/K_0$$

Full control of

- Energy
- Polarization
- Gradients

inclined

 $K = 2\kappa \hat{B}_{1x} [2 + \cos \phi_{\rm e} + \cos(\phi_{\rm e} \pm 2\phi_{\rm \bar{p}})]^{1/2}$  $\partial_{\mathbf{x}}K = 0$ 



M. Calvi et al., Transverse gradient in Apple-type undulators, <u>J. Synchrotron Rad.</u> 2017, <u>24</u>, 600-608

PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT

## Spectral control: bandwidth increase

In a TGU there is a dependence of the undulator field on the transverse position

 $\frac{K(x) - K_0}{K_0} = \alpha x$ 

 $K_0$ : on-axis field  $\alpha$ : gradient

A tilted beam traveling through a TGU will produce broadband XFEL radiation. Easy to tune!



[E. Prat, M. Calvi, and S. Reiche, JSR 23, 874 (2016)]

- Additional possibilities of the scheme:
  - Multiple colors with slotted foil at the undulator entrance
  - FEL pulse compression (sign of the chirp can be controlled)
- Alternative method: energy-chirped electron beam + optimize laser distribution at the source. Results: ~3% bandwidth for 0.1 nm and 5.8 GeV @ Aramis



XFEL pulses of 20% bandwidth and few GW power can be obtained

### Simulations (10% bandwidth)



In a first section the "tail" is centered and lases at  $\lambda_1$ . The electron beam is delayed and the "head" is realigned. In a second stage the "head" lases at  $\lambda_2$ 



[S. Reiche and E. Prat, JSR 23, 869 (2016)] [A. Lutman et al, Nat. Photonics 10, 745 (2016)]

### **Tunability for Athos**

Parameters	Values
Individual Pulse Length	2 – 10 fs
Individual Pulse Energy	50 <b>–</b> 250 μJ
Relative Delay	-10 to 1000 fs
Photon energy	Factor 5 (e.g. 240 – 1200 eV)





# SwissFEL UE38 (APPLE X)





## SwissFEL UE38 (APPLE X)





## Athos undulator frame (cast iron)





# Athos undulator frame (cast iron)




# UE38 keeper block



Serial block 4 periods each











Hall probe bench with Yuri 3.0





Hall probe bench with Yuri 3.0





Magnets for Athos UE38

shaped field magnets: inhomogeneous magnetization performance study<sup>1</sup> with Arnold Magnetics, Lupfig AG, Switzerland under way





## UE38 magnet material options

Magnet A	Magnet B	shaped field	К	Photon Energie [eV] @ 2.65 GeV	Photon Energie [eV] @ 2.9 GeV	in Specs @ 2.65 / 2.9 GeV
SmCo <sub>5</sub>	SmCo₅	nein	3.42	256	306	ja / nein
SmCo <sub>5</sub>	SmCo₅	ja	3.57	7 238 285		ja / nein
SmCo <sub>5</sub>	Sm2C017	nein	3.74	220	263	ja / nein
SmCo <sub>5</sub>	Sm2C017	17 ja 3		203	243	ja / ja
Sm <sub>2</sub> Co <sub>17</sub>	Sm2C017	nein	3.95	199	238	ja / ja
Sm <sub>2</sub> Co <sub>17</sub>	Sm2C017	ja	4.11	185	222	ja / ja

axial magnet A responsible for shift dependent kicks

better performance of SmCo<sub>5</sub>

Sm<sub>2</sub>Co<sub>17</sub> better suited for use in shaped field because of less anisotropy



# Ultra-thin Vacuum chamber for UE38



diameter5.0mmwall thickness0.2mmmagnet aperture 6.5mmminimum gap3.0mm

Kupferrohr:

Wandstärke

Innendurchmesser 5mm

0.2mm







Phase Matching



# Athos Phase Matcher / Chicane



#### Chicane mode:

 $200 \mu m$  offset and  $1.5 \mu m$  phase advance

#### Phase matcher mode:

at 80mm gap



SwissFEL & SLS-2: concept

## SLS 2.0 2.4 GeV

**soft x-ray** variable polarization APPLE II / APPLE X

hard x-ray

in - vacuum

U19 -> CPMU14 / 12

U10 sc ?!

gap min = 4mm, 2m long

gap min = 4mm, 2m long

#### 2.9 - 3.4 GeV **SwissFEL** 2 - 8 GeV

**soft x-ray** variable polarization APPLE-X (DELTA II)

UE38, Chic Modes

in - vacuum U15 3mm, 4m long -> 12keV

U10 sc ?! (2025 ff) -> 36keV



# SLS-2 beamline options - I

#### courtesy Andreas Streun





SLS-2 beamline options - II

1	Injection				free exp area
2	RF	EEHG			free exp area
3	EEHG	UE38		ADRESS	coherent radiation
4		U14		MS	
5	U60	U14	XIL	μΧΑS	XIL use of 1 UE56?
6		U14		PX I	
7	UE54	UE50	Phoenix	X-Treme	
8	RF	3HC			entrance
9		U14		cSAXS	
10		U14		PX II	
11	UE56	UE56	SIM		
12	UE90	UE90	SIS		

4 free slots





## SLS-2 Undulator Control





SLS: VME / OMS motor control + Siemens S5 PLC Design < 2000 (2 cabinets per ID) SwissFEL: Beckhoff Motion Control combines motor control safety compact, low price fast Ethercat connection

cabinets on board

SLS-2: will adapt SwissFEL design external cabinets: 1 per ID one design for all types APPLE X ist most complex



# SIS Undulator UE212/424





# UE212 quasi-periodic electromagnetic

Polarization: LH, LV, circular 2 x 21 periods

Field: 145 x 28 A turns (120 x 20 A turns) B<sub>max</sub> = 0.4T (0.1T) E<sub>min</sub> = 10eV (100eV\*) \*20eV Update

> ELETTRA (I) (Design Wiggler) PSI (Quasiperiodic Undulator) BINP (Ru) (manufacturer)



-

## Quasi-periodic harmonic suppression





Harmonic suppression in Photoemission Spectra



### SIS: replacement of the elm qp undulator UE212





S. Sasaki et al, POSSIBILITY FOR QUASI-PERIODIC KNOT-APPLE UNDULATOR, 2014

first device under construction for SSRF

#### QUASI-PERIODIC KNOT-APPLE UNDULATOR

LH, LV, circular without on axis power quasi-pedicic field distribution

drawback: only fundamental

with K = 0.5 U80 613eV

U90 545eV

U100 490eV

pretty complicated



Workshop on IDs for 4GLS (Berkeley 2017):

Quasiperidic APPLE devices are too much compromise



twin APPLE undulators

#### Single Shot Polarimeter



LH, LV out of circular light no harmonics, no power on axis standard operation for higher energies use of harmonics possible range 10 (15) eV – 600 (1000) eV

polarization control with single shot polarimeter



courtesy Jens Viefhaus (DESY)



# SwissFEL UE38 prototype





SLS-2 UE90 design study



Field enhancement: 8%

Note: PSI builds 4 UE90 of APPLE X type for EUXFEL



UE90 2x1.9m 2x19 periods







PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT







Stokes Parameter for different phases between crossed undulators

Energy [eV]	B <sub>circ</sub> / B <sub>LH</sub> [T]	K <sub>circ</sub> / K <sub>LH</sub>	Aperture @25m [mm x mm]	Flux <sub>Crossed</sub> P > 80% [v 10 <sup>14</sup> ]	Aperture @25m [mm x mm]	Flux <sub>Crossed</sub> P > 70% [x 10 <sup>14</sup> ]	Aperture @25m [mm x mm]	Flux <sub>LH</sub> P 100% [x 10 <sup>14</sup> ]
12	0.84 / 1.19	7.05 / 9.98	4 x 4	3.2	5.6 x 5.6	6	10 x 10	15
20	0.65 / 0.92	5.45 / 7.70	3 x 3	2.9	4 x 4	5.3	9 x 9	16
40	0.45 / 0.64	3.79 / 5.35	2 x 2	2.4	2.8 x 2.8	4.9	8 x 8	18
60	0.36 / 0.51	3.04 / 4.29	1.6 x 1.6	2.3	2.24 x 2.24	4.4	6 x 6	18
90	0.29 / 0.41	2.41 / 3.41	1×1	1.3	1.76 x 1.76	3.9	4 x 4	15



Pros	Cons			
<b>.</b>				
No on-axis harmonics	5 x less flux at 12eV			
better than quasi-periodic	10 x less at 90eV			
	degree of polarization 80%			

Scheme with a undulators allows to use both modes

Depending on photon energy, flux and polarization demand by the users







1	2	3	4	5	
1.46E+15	2.30E+14	1.00E+15	6.90E+14	6.10E+14	
	16%	69%	47%	42%	
7.67E+14 3.	3.22E+13	2.90E+14	5.70E+13	3.40E+13	
	4%	38%	7%	4%	
3.60E+14	1.64E+13	3.86E+13	2.70E+13	5.20E+13	
	5%	11%	8%	14%	



# Vacuum chambers for APPLE X at storage rings



Vacuum chambers for single pass machines: round, simple Injection requires larger horizontal apertures vacuumchambers with antechambers complicated to impossible

from undulator point of view

On-axis injection schemes highly desireable

Various on-axis injector schemes under development at ALS, BAPS, SOLEIL, SLS

Only when these schemes are in baseline a project can profit!



# ADRESS UE44



fixed gap APPLE II

Upgrades required: Add cam-shaft mover to allow (in situ) alignment







## **EEHC for ADRESS**

### Echo Enabled Harmonic Generation



R. Molo et al., ECHO-ENABLED HARMONIC GENERATION AT DELTA, Proceedings of IPAC2011, San Sebastián, Spain



# **EEHC for ADRESS**

#### EEHC in SLS-2 in 2 straights

#### Straight 1

Rf cavities + modulator 1

Arc which is the dispersive element  $R_{56}$ 

Straight 2

modulator 2 + phase matcher + APPLE X

#### A unique opportunity for SLS-2!

negligible increase of energy spread

Note: EEHC developed for FEL

Studies for

Hefei storage ring, DELTA, SLS-2, ...

about 1% density modulation Increase in coherent flux: 100-10000





# SIM UE56 / Phoenix, X-treme UE54



#### **APPLE II**

UE56 twin undulators

UE54 serves two beamlines X-treme soft x-ray Phoenix tender x-ray 37<sup>th</sup> harmonic !!! SLS-2 lattice allows a second undulator



# Hydraulik Drive for shift gap axis

Hydraulik driven Cylinder as alternative to motor/spindel drive system

System: Bosch Rexroth 4WRPDH

valve with integrated regulation and interfaces or  $\mu$ -controller with valve

resolution valve: 0.001%

cycle time: <1ms



regulations:

- position
- force
- pressure
- positon/pressure, position/force
  connecitons:

EtherCAT, EtherNet, PROFINET, ...

https://www.boschrexroth.com/de/de/produkte/produktgruppen/industriehydraulik/ stetigventile/regel-wegeventile/direktgesteuert/integrierter-achsregler/iac-multiethernet/iac-multi-ethernet


## Hydraulik Test Stand





# PX, c-SAXS, $\mu\text{-XAS}$ U19 / MS U14







Photon Energy







## SLS-2 strategy for hard x-ray undulators

U19 in Vacuum Undulatoren -> Cryo Undulatoren CPMU14 based on PrFeB

Upgrade of the existing in-vacuum undulators

Higher fields, but smaller horizontal pole widht <- small emittance

needs to be realized in the year 2023 machine dark time

CPMU14 based on NdFeB at 135K: no change

All in-vacuum undulators can be installed in any place



### In-situ Measurement / Optimization Bench





**SLS – SLS-2** Reference table

			Brilliance	Flux	Flux dens	coh. Flux		tot Power	Brilliance
		@ Energy				х	у	[kW]	increase
ADRESS	SLS	600	2.00E+19	1.60E+15	2.60E+17	0.03	0.45	4.3	
UE44	SLS-2	800	6.00E+20	1.60E+15	7.60E+17	0.38	0.84		30.00
SIM	SLS	500	1.70E+19	1.50E+15	2.30E+17	0.03	0.48	4.0	
UE56	SLS-2	500	4.00E+20	1.50E+15	6.80E+17	0.41	0.86		23.53
PHOENIX/X-treme	SLS	500	7.00E+18	7.00E+14	8.80E+16	0.03	0.39	1.8	
UE54	SLS-2	500	1.50E+20	8.00E+14	2.00E+17	0.33	0.83		21.43
SIS UE212	SLS	60 / 150	1.6E18 / 3.3E18	1.00E+15	7E16 / 9E16	0.23	0.92	1.9	
UE90	SLS-2	60 / 400	9.4E18 / 1.3E20	1.20E+15	7E16 / 2.8E17	0.83	0.97	8.3	39.39
PXI/II, cSAXs, μ-XAS	SLS	8000	8.00E+18	3.00E+14	4.90E+16	0.002	0.07	2.3	
U19	SLS-2		3.70E+20	3.00E+14	2.56E+17	0.040	0.18		46.25
	SLS	12000	3.00E+18	1.10E+14	1.80E+16	0.001	0.05		
	SLS-2		1.40E+20	1.10E+14	9.50E+16	0.030	0.12		46.67
	SLS	20000	4.80E+17	1.70E+13	3.00E+15	0.001	0.03		
	SLS-2		2.20E+19	1.70E+13	1.45E+16	0.020	0.07		45.83
MS	SLS	8000	1.66E+19	5.70E+14	9.30E+16	0.002	0.07	1.8	
U14	SLS-2		8.80E+20	5.90E+14	6.20E+17	0.040	0.18		53.01
	SLS	12000	8.60E+18	3.20E+14	5.00E+16	0.001	0.05		
	SLS-2		4.30E+20	3.20E+14	2.90E+17	0.030	0.12		50.00
	SLS	20000	2.20E+18	8.10E+13	1.30E+16	0.001	0.03		
	SLS-2		1.10E+20	8.10E+13	7.50E+16	0.020	0.07		50.00

Calculated with Spectra 10.0

Note: for SIS the SLS-2 calculations are based on a UE90 instead of a UE212



# Super longitudinal gradient bending





SwissFEL Outlook





E.R. Moog, R.J. Dejus, and S. Sasaki , Light Source Note: ANL/APS/LS-348 James Clarke, FLS 2012, March 2012



Staggered array with HTS bulks



C.P.Bean, Rev. Mod. Phys. 36 (1964) 31.



#### Staggered array with HTS bulks



C.P.Bean, Rev. Mod. Phys. 36 (1964) 31.





#### **Thanks for your interest**

and special thanks to

- J. Chavanne, O. Chubar, P. Elleaume for RADIA, SRW ...
- T. Tanaka for SPECTRA